### Irreducibility Testing of Nilpotent Matrix Groups

Tobias Rossmann

Galway, 6 May 2011





This work is supported by the Research Frontiers Programme of Science Foundation Ireland

### Matrix groups

#### Definition

A matrix group over a field K is a subgroup of  $GL_d(K)$ .

- Matrix groups arise naturally in mathematics (and elsewhere).
- They are one of the basic ways of representing groups on a computer.
  In practice, they are usually given by finite sequences of generating matrices.

## Computing with matrix groups

### Computing with matrix groups over finite fields

- The "Matrix Group Recognition Project" has essentially been completed ( $\approx 20$  years).
- Many problems have efficient solutions (up to basic oracles).
- Implementations exist (or are being developed) in GAP or MAGMA.

### Computing with matrix groups over infinite fields

- Fundamental problems are undecidable (e.g. membership).
- Many computational problems are open.

### Recent project (Detinko & Flannery, Eick, O'Brien, ...)

Develop and implement practical algorithms for basic computational problems related to finitely generated matrix groups over infinite fields.

### Fundamental problems

Let  $G \leq \operatorname{GL}_d(K)$  be given by finitely many generators.

### Group-theoretic problems

- ullet Decide if G is finite, soluble, nilpotent, ....
- Compute centralisers, normalisers, . . . .
- Find a finite presentation for G (if possible).
- . . .

### Geometric problems

- Decide irreducibility, primitivity, ... of G.
- Orbit-stabiliser problem.
- Decide membership in G of a given  $x \in GL_d(K)$ .
- . . .

# Irreducibility testing

#### **Definition**

Let  $G \leq \operatorname{GL}_d(K)$ . Then G is **reducible** if there exists a proper G-invariant subspace of  $K^d$ . Otherwise, G is **irreducible**.

### Computational tasks

- lacksquare Decide if G is irreducible.
- $oldsymbol{0}$  If G is reducible, construct an invariant subspace.

#### Relevance

- Irreducibility is the most fundamental module-theoretic property.
- Reduction to irreducible groups is a common technique in the theory of matrix groups.
- Starting point of MGRP over finite fields.

## Irreducibility testing

### Computational tasks (again)

- lacktriangle Decide if G is irreducible.
- ② If G is reducible, construct an invariant subspace.

#### The state of the art

- Irreducibility of matrix groups over finite fields can be tested using the MEAT-AXE algorithm. (Parker 1984, Holt & Rees 1994, ...)
- $\bullet$  Irreducibility of finite matrix groups over the rationals can be tested effectively (Nebe & Steel 2009). Implemented in  $\rm M_{AGMA}.$

## Computing with nilpotent matrix groups

- Nilpotent matrix groups have been studied extensively (Suprunenko).
- They have been shown to be well-suited for computations.
- Nilpotency and finiteness can be tested (Detinko & Flannery 2008).
- In (Detinko & Flannery 2006), an algorithm which simultaneously tests irreducibility and primitivity of nilpotent matrix groups over finite fields was developed.

#### This talk

Let K be a number field. We describe an algorithm for deciding irreducibility of f.g. nilpotent matrix groups over K. In the case of finite nilpotent groups, we obtain a fully constructive algorithm. Time permitting, we also consider primitivity testing of finite nilpotent groups.

# Matrix groups and algebras

#### **Definition**

Let  $G \leq \operatorname{GL}_d(K)$ .

- The enveloping algebra K[G] of G is the subalgebra of  $\mathrm{M}_d(K)$  generated by G.
- If K[G] is semisimple, then G is completely reducible.
- If K[G] is simple, then G is homogeneous.

### **Fact**

G irreducible  $\Rightarrow G$  homogeneous  $\Rightarrow G$  completely reducible.

### Fact (Detinko & Flannery 2008)

If G is f.g. nilpotent, then we can either prove that G is completely reducible or we can construct a proper K[G]-submodule.

### The strategy

Let  $G \leq GL_d(K)$  be f.g., nilpotent, and completely reducible.

**Goal:** decide irreducibility of G.

We proceed as follows:

- The case that G is abelian is easily treated.
- Find an abelian normal subgroup  $A \triangleleft G$  which is either inhomogeneous or homogeneous and maximal (i.e.  $A = C_G(A)$ ).
- ② If A is inhomogeneous, then we can either prove reducibility of G or we reduce irreducibility testing to a problem in smaller dimension.
- ullet If A is homogeneous and maximal, then we can use computational Galois cohomology to decide irreducibility of G.

# Step 1: constructing abelian normal subgroups

Let  $G \leq \operatorname{GL}_d(K)$  be finitely generated, nilpotent and completely reducible.

### Using congruence homomorphisms

We can find a homomorphism  $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$  onto a finite group H with the following property: a subgroup  $A \leqslant G$  is abelian iff  $A^{\pi}$  is abelian.

### Explicitly:

- Let R be the ring of integers of K.
- Choose an odd unramified prime  $\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft R$  such that  $G \leqslant \operatorname{GL}_d(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ .
- Take  $\pi$  to be the natural map  $G \to G \mod \mathfrak{p} \leqslant \operatorname{GL}_d(R/\mathfrak{p})$ .
- ullet By a theorem of Suprunenko and basic ANT,  $\operatorname{Ker}(\pi)$  is torsion-free.
- ullet Another result of Suprunenko implies that [G,G] is finite.
- Hence, if  $A^{\pi}$  is abelian, then  $[A,A] \leq \operatorname{Ker}(\pi) \cap [G,G] = 1$ .

# Step 1: constructing abelian normal subgroups

**Goal:** find an abelian  $A \triangleleft G$  which is inhomgs or homgs and maximal.

#### Fact

A completely reducible abelian  $A \leqslant \operatorname{GL}_d(K)$  is homg iff K[A] is a field.

### Fact (Dixon; Eberly)

For a completely reducible abelian  $A \leqslant \operatorname{GL}_d(K)$ , "most" elements  $x \in K[A]$  satisfy K[A] = K[x].

Let  $G \xrightarrow{\pi} H$  be as on the previous slide. Using a presentation of H we find generators of  $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi)$ ; note that  $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi) \leqslant \mathrm{Z}(G)$ .

- Let  $B \triangleleft H$  be abelian.
- ② If  $B^{\pi^{-1}}$  is inhomogeneous, then stop.
- $oldsymbol{3}$  If B is maximal abelian, then stop.
- Enlarge  $B < C_H(B)$  and go to •.

## Step 2: reduction

Goal: make use of an inhomogeneous normal subgroup.

## Theorem (Clifford 1937 + Detinko & Flannery 2006)

Let  $G \leq \operatorname{GL}_d(K)$  be completely reducible,  $N \triangleleft G$ , and  $K^d = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_r$  be the homgs decompn over K[N]. Then G is irreducible if and only if

- **1** G acts transitively on  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_r\}$ , and
- ②  $\operatorname{Stab}_G(U_1)$  acts irreducibly on  $U_1$ .
  - ullet  $\mathcal U$  can be easily computed if N is abelian.
  - $\bullet$  We may test transitivity of G on  ${\mathcal U}$  using perm. group algorithms.
  - ullet The action of  $\operatorname{Stab}_G(U_1)$  on  $U_1$  can be computed using linear algebra.

#### Reduction

If N is inhomogeneous (i.e.  $|\mathcal{U}|>1$ ), then we can either prove reducibility of G or we continue irreducibility testing in smaller dimension.

## A reminder: crossed products

Let L/Z be a finite Galois extension of number fields,  $\Gamma=\mathrm{Gal}(L/Z)$ , and  $\phi\in\mathrm{Z}^2(\Gamma,L^\times)$ . Define

$$L \star_{\phi} \Gamma = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Gamma} u_{\sigma} L$$

with multiplication  $au_{\sigma}=u_{\sigma}a^{\sigma}$   $(a\in L,\sigma\in\Gamma)$  and  $u_{\sigma}u_{\tau}=u_{\sigma\tau}\cdot(\sigma,\tau)\phi$ . The algebra  $L\star_{\phi}\Gamma$  is the **crossed product** of L by  $\Gamma$  determined by  $\phi$ .

#### **Facts**

- $\mathcal{A} = L \star_{\phi} \Gamma$  is a central simple Z-algebra.
- index(A) = L-dimension of the irreducible A-module.
- $index(A) = order of [\phi] \in H^2(\Gamma, L^{\times})$ . (Brauer-Hasse-Noether 1932)
- ullet The order of  $[\phi]$  can be determined algorithmically. (Fieker 2009)

## Step 3: cohomology

**Goal:** decide irreducibility of G if  $A \triangleleft G$  is homogeneous and max. abelian.

### Proposition

Let  $G \leqslant \operatorname{GL}_d(K)$  be nilpotent and let  $A \triangleleft G$  be max. abelian and homgs.

- Let L=K[A]. Then G/A acts faithfully on L by conjugation.
- 2 Let  $Z = L^{G/A}$ . Then  $K[G] \cong_Z L \star G/A$  in the natural way.

We may thus decide irreducibility of G as follows:

- $\bullet \text{ Construct } \phi \in \mathbf{Z}^2(G/A,A) \text{ corr. to } 1 \to A \to G \to G/A \to 1.$
- ② Compute  $m = \text{order of } [\phi] \in \mathrm{H}^2(G/A, L^{\times}).$

# Summary (deciding irreducibility)

Deciding irreducibility of a f.g. nilpotent group  $G \leqslant \operatorname{GL}_d(K)$  (main steps):

- $\textbf{ ① Construct an abelian normal subgroup } A \triangleleft G \text{ which is inhomogeneous} \\ \text{or maximal abelian and homogeneous}.$
- ② If A is inhomogeneous, then either prove reducibility or reduce to smaller dimension and start again.
- $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{g}}$  If A is maximal abelian and homogeneous, then compute the index of K[G] and read off irreducibility of G.

#### Remark

We don't (in general) obtain a submodule in case 3.

## Example: cyclic algebras

Suppose that K contains a primitive mth root of unity  $\zeta_m$ . Let  $\lambda, \nu \in K^{\times}$  and suppose that  $X^m - \nu$  is irreducible over K. Let  $\beta = \sqrt[m]{\nu}$  and define

$$G = \left\langle \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \beta & & & & \\ & \beta \cdot \zeta_m & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \beta \cdot \zeta_m^{m-1} \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{H}}, \ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ \lambda & & & \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

Note that G has class 2. Regard G as a matrix group over K of degree  $m^2$ . Then  $A=\langle \lambda,u,\zeta_m\rangle$  is a homgs maximal abelian normal subgroup of G with  $G/A\cong \mathbf{C}_m$ . It is well-known that K[G] is a **cyclic algebra**.

#### Fact

Let m be a prime. Then G is reducible iff  $N_{K(\beta)/K}(x) = \lambda$  has a soln.

### The finite case

- We obtain a fully constructive algorithm for irreducibility testing of finite nilpotent matrix groups.
- We can handle a considerably larger class of fields of char. zero.
  - ▶ In theory: any field of characteristic zero with algorithms for polynomial factorisation and for solving  $x^2 + y^2 = -1$ .
  - ▶ In practice: number fields and rational function fields over these.
- $\bullet$  The algorithm is quite practical. An implementation is available in  $\rm MAGMA~V2.17$  and as a stand-alone package.
- Primitivity can be tested too.

# The strategy (finite case)

#### **Fact**

If  $A \leqslant \operatorname{GL}_d(K)$  is finite non-cyclic abelian, then A is inhomogeneous.

## Strategy (based on (Detinko & Flannery 2006) for finite fields)

Let  $G \leq GL_d(K)$  be finite nilpotent.

- lacksquare Find a non-cyclic abelian normal subgp of G or prove that none exists.
- 2 In the first case, reduce.
- $oldsymbol{\circ}$  In the second case, test irreducibility of G directly.

### Theorem (Roquette 1958, ...)

Let G be finite nilpotent. All abelian normal subgroups of G are cyclic iff

- $G_2$  is cyclic or isomorphic to  $Q_8$  or to  $D_{2^k}$ ,  $SD_{2^k}$ ,  $Q_{2^k}$  for  $k \geqslant 4$ , and
- $G_{2'}$  is cyclic.

# Finding non-cyclic abelian normal subgroups

We can find  $A \triangleleft G$  which is non-cyclic or cyclic and max. abelian.

#### Lemma

Let G be a finite nilpotent group such that [G,G] is cyclic. Define  $H=\mathrm{C}_G([G,G])$ .

- If  $H_{2'}$  is cyclic and  $H_2$  is cyclic or  $H_2 \cong \mathbb{Q}_8$ , then all abelian normal subgroup of G are cyclic.
- ② Suppose that  $H_p \not\cong \mathbb{Q}_8$  is non-abelian. Then  $\langle \mathbb{Z}(H_p), h \rangle$  is a non-cyclic abelian normal subgroup of G for some  $h \in H_p$ .

#### Proof.

- 1 Follows from (Berger, Kovács, Newman 1980).
- ② Note that  ${\rm class}(H_p)=2.$  If  $\langle {\rm Z}(H_p),h\rangle$  were cyclic for all  $h\in H_p$ , then  $H_p$  would contain a unique subgroup of order p. But then  $H_p$  would be cyclic or generalised quaternion, which is impossible.



# Enter $x^2 + y^2 = -1$

Let all abelian normal subgps of a non-abelian  $G \leqslant \operatorname{GL}_d(K)$  be cyclic.

- Let  $A \triangleleft G$  be cyclic of index 2. We may assume that A is homgs.
- Let  $Z = \mathbb{Z}(K[G]) = K[A]^G$ . We find that

$$K[G] \cong_Z \left(\frac{-1, \pm 1}{Z}\right) = Z(\sqrt{-1}) \star C_2.$$

#### Lemma

- If  $G_2$  is (semi)dihedral, then G is irreducible iff d=2|Z:K|.
- 2 Let  $G_2$  be quaternion. If  $x^2 + y^2 = -1$  is soluble in Z, then G is irreducible iff d = 2|Z:K|. Otherwise, G is irreducible iff d = 4|Z:K|.

## Enter $x^2 + y^2 = -1$

### Example

We have  $K[G] \cong \left(\frac{-1,-1}{K}\right)$ , where

$$G = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ -1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ -1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & -1 & \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \leqslant \operatorname{GL}_{4}(K).$$

Hence, G is reducible iff  $x^2+y^2=-1$  is soluble in K. If this is the case, then finding a proper K[G]-submodule is equivalent to finding a solution of  $x^2+y^2=-1$ .

# Primitivity testing

#### Definition

Let  $G \leqslant \operatorname{GL}_d(K)$  be irreducible. If there exists a non-trivial decomposition

$$K^d = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_r$$

permuted by G, then G is **imprimitive**. Otherwise, G is **primitive**. A subspace  $U_i$  is a **block** and a subgp  $\operatorname{Stab}_G(U_i)$  is **block stabiliser** for G.

### Computational tasks

- lacksquare Decide if G is primitive.
- $oldsymbol{0}$  If G is imprimitive, construct a system of imprimitivity.

# Elementary facts

#### **Facts**

Let  $G \leq \operatorname{GL}_d(K)$  be irreducible.

- ullet H < G is a block stabiliser iff there is an irreducible K[H]-submodule  $U < K^d$  with d = |G:H||U:K|.
- ullet G is imprimitive iff some max. subgp of G is a block stabiliser.
- If H < G has index 2, then H is a block stabiliser iff H is reducible.
- ullet If G is primitive, then all normal subgroups of G are homogeneous.

## Maximal subgroups

Let  $G \leq GL_d(K)$  be finite, nilpotent, and irreducible.

- If  $A \triangleleft G$  is non-cyclic abelian G, then G is imprimitive.
- We obtain a reduction to the case that all abelian  $A \triangleleft G$  are cyclic.
- The abelian case is easily treated (again).
- Let  $A \triangleleft G$  be cyclic of index 2. We may assume that A is irreducible.
- ullet It suffices to test if any of the max. subgps of G is a block stabiliser.
- The interesting ones correspond to the prime divisors of |G|.

#### Lemma

$$|G| = \mathcal{O}(d^{1+\varepsilon})$$
 for  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

# Maximal subgroups

### Proposition

- Let H < G have prime index p (+ conditions for p = 2, e.g.  $A \ne H$ ). Suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied:
  - G<sub>2</sub> is (semi)dihedral,
  - $|G_2|\geqslant 32$ , or
  - p is odd.

Then H is a block stabiliser iff  $|K[A]:K[A^p]|=p$ .

- ② If  $G_2 \cong Q_8$ , then subgroups of index 2 of G are irreducible.
- 3 Suppose that  $Q_8 \times C_m \cong H < G \cong Q_{16} \times C_m$  for odd m. Then H is a block stabiliser iff  $|K[A]:K[A^2]|=2$  and the following condition is satisfied:  $\operatorname{ord}(2 \bmod m)|K_{\mathfrak{p}}:\mathbf{Q}_2|$  is even for all  $\mathfrak{p} \mid 2$ .

We can thus test primitivity of  ${\cal G}$  by looping over its maximal subgroups and testing the conditions in the proposition.