

# Enumerating orbits of groups

## Lecture 3: A web of themes and open problems

Tobias Rossmann

ICTS, Bengaluru — December 2024



OLLSCOIL NA GAILLIMHE  
UNIVERSITY OF GALWAY

 Taighde Éireann  
Research Ireland



## Theme: tame vs wild

Given an instance of a global (say  $\mathbf{Z}$ -defined) counting problem with local zeta functions  $Z_p(T)$  ( $p$  prime), we regard our instance as *tame* if there exists a rational function  $W(X, T)$  such that  $Z_p(T) = W(p, T)$  for  $p \gg 0$ .

This is often referred to as (*almost*) *uniformity*. In the study of  $p$ -adic integrals, model theorists use the term “uniformity” to mean something else, which can be confusing.

### Example

The local class-counting zeta functions of the Heisenberg group are given by the uniform formula

$$Z_{U_3 \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p}^{\text{cc}}(T) = \frac{1 - T}{(1 - pT)(1 - p^2T)}.$$

Hence, counting conjugacy classes of  $U_3(\mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z})$  is tame.

## Theme: tame vs wild

- Informally, we regard a counting problem as *(geometrically) wild* if, by varying over all or some instances, the behaviour of  $Z_p(T)$  as  $p$  varies captures the numbers of  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -rational points on arbitrary ( $Z$ -defined) schemes.
- Many counting problems of interest are *at most* geometrically wild. More precisely, they often admit *(geometric) Denef formulae*, valid for  $p \gg 0$ , of the form

$$Z_p(T) = \sum_{i=1}^r \#V_i(\mathbf{F}_p) \cdot W_i(p, T)$$

for schemes  $V_i$  and rational functions  $W_i(X, T)$ .

- Known tame counting problems are often *combinatorial*, while wild ones are *(algebra-)geometric*.
- When instances of counting problems are tame, we can seek to find combinatorial (or other) reasons for that by e.g. showing that  $\#V_i(\mathbf{F}_p) \in \mathbf{Q}[p]$  for  $p \gg 0$ .
- Proving or exhibiting instances of wild behaviour is a very different kind of problem. Far fewer tools have been developed for this purpose.

## Average sizes of kernels and enumerating matrices by rank

- Let  $A(X) \in M_{d \times e}(\mathbf{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_\ell])$  be a matrix of linear forms, corresponding to a module representation  $\theta: \mathbf{Z}^\ell \rightarrow M_{d \times e}(\mathbf{Z})$ ,  $x \mapsto A(x)$ .
- Let  $V_i$  be the “rank- $i$  locus” of  $A(X)$ .

This is the part of affine  $\ell$ -space where all  $(i+1) \times (i+1)$  minors of  $A(X)$  vanish, but some  $i \times i$  minor is invertible.

- We then have  $\text{ask}(\theta^{\mathbf{F}_q}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \#V_i(\mathbf{F}_q) q^{d-i-\ell}$ .
- Hence, for fixed  $\theta$ , the study of  $\text{ask}(\theta^{\mathbf{F}_q})$  as  $q$  varies is at most as hard as counting  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -rational points on schemes.
- Polynomiality questions surrounding rank counts in combinatorially-defined spaces of matrices have been of considerable interest for a *long* time.

Some papers: Landsberg 1893, Carlitz 1954, MacWilliams 1969, Buckhiester 1972, Bender 1974, Lewis et al. 2011, Klein et al. 2014

## Wild problems

- Recall that we regard a counting problem depending on a prime  $p$  or prime power  $q$  as *geometrically wild* if it is at least as hard as counting  $\mathbf{F}_p$ - or  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -points on arbitrary schemes.

- Belkale and Brosnan (2003) showed the following:

Counting invertible symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  with entries in specified positions forced to be zero is a wild problem.

(More precise version below!)

- I'm not aware of results of this type which prove wildness for zeta functions of algebraic structures.
- However, if we're willing to settle for a weaker "approximate form" of wildness, then the following result takes care of ask, class-counting, and orbit-counting zeta functions.

## Approximate wildness

### Theorem (R. 2024)

Let  $X$  be a scheme of finite type over  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $n \geq 1$ . Then there are

- commutative group schemes  $M_1, \dots, M_r$  with  $M_i \leq U_{d_i}$ ,
- Baer group schemes  $G_1, \dots, G_r$ , and
- univariate Laurent polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_r, g_1, \dots, g_r$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$

such that for each prime power  $q$ , the numbers

$$F(q) := \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(q) k(G_i(\mathbf{F}_q)) \text{ and } G(q) := \sum_{i=1}^r g_i(q) \#(\mathbf{F}_q^{d_i}/M_i(\mathbf{F}_q))$$

are integers which satisfy

$$\#X(\mathbf{F}_q) \equiv F(q) \equiv G(q) \pmod{q^n}.$$

Given  $X$ , there exists  $n$  with  $\#X(\mathbf{F}_q) < q^n$  for all  $q$ . Hence: class numbers and numbers of orbits of unipotent groups are “*at least as complicated*” as numbers of  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -rational points on arbitrary schemes. We already saw that they’re at most this complicated.

## Kontsevich's conjecture

Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a graph.

### Definition

The *Kirchhoff polynomial* of  $\Gamma$  is

$$P_{\Gamma}(X) = \sum_T \prod_{e \notin T} X_e,$$

where the sum ranges over spanning trees of  $\Gamma$ .

### Conjecture (Kontsevich)

For each  $\Gamma$ , the number of solutions of  $P_{\Gamma}(X) = 0$  (in  $\mathbf{A}^E$ ) over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  is given by a polynomial in  $q$ .



## Kontsevich's conjecture

Theorem (Belkale and Brosnan 2003)

*Kontsevich's conjecture is maximally false: counting  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -points of  $P_\Gamma(X) = 0$  as  $\Gamma$  ranges over graphs is as hard as counting  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -points on arbitrary schemes over  $\mathbf{Z}$ .*

- Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph with distinct vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ .
- Let  $M_\Gamma^+ = [x_{ij}]$  be the generic symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices with  $x_{ij} = 0$  for  $v_i \sim v_j$ .
- Let  $Z_\Gamma$  be the regular locus of  $M_\Gamma^+$ .
- As part of numerous clever translations and (equally clever!) reductions, Belkale and Brosnan showed that the counting functions

$$q \mapsto \#Z_\Gamma(\mathbf{F}_q)$$

generate (a certain localisation of) the algebra of all point-counting functions derived from schemes over  $\mathbf{Z}$ .



## Wild Baers

We can use this result to construct Baer group schemes with “approximately wild” numbers of conjugacy classes as in our theorem.

- Given  $\Gamma$ , consider

$$A_\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & M_\Gamma^+ \\ -(M_\Gamma^+)^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- This is an antisymmetric matrix of linear forms (over  $\mathbf{Z}$ ) which gives rise to an alternating bilinear map  $*$ .
- The Baer group schemes with “wild mod  $q^n$ ” numbers of conjugacy classes are iterated central powers of the  $\mathbf{G}_*$ —the number of factors depends on  $n$ .

## Class-counting zeta functions of graphical groups: tame or wild?

How do class-counting zeta functions of graphical group schemes  $\mathbf{G}_\Gamma$  look like?

- These zeta functions can be expressed in terms of the rank loci of generic antisymmetric matrices with support constraints.
- Inspired by the work of Belkale and Brosnan, this motivated R. & Voll to suspect that graphical group schemes have wild class-counting zeta functions.
- After all. . . how likely is it that wild pieces add up to something tame (polynomial)?

$\Sigma$  wild = tame, sometimes

### Example (Carnevale & R. 2022)

- Let

$$M = \{[x_{ij}] \in M_3(\mathbf{Z}) : x_{11} + x_{33} = x_{12} + x_{21} = x_{13} + x_{22} + x_{32} \\ = x_{23} + x_{31} = 0\}.$$

- We can consider the group scheme  $\mathbf{G}_M \leq GL_6$  (see the tutorial).
- There are  $q^3 - q$  orbits of size  $q^3$ ,  $q^2 - q$  orbits of size  $q^2$ , and  $q^3$  fixed points of  $\mathbf{G}_M(\mathbf{F}_q)$  acting on  $\mathbf{F}_q^6$ .

- The number of elements of  $\mathbf{G}_M(\mathbf{F}_q)$  with precisely  $q^5$  fixed points on  $\mathbf{F}_q^6$  is not quasi-polynomial.

This number is  $(q-1)(N(q)+1)$ , where  $N(q)$  is the number of roots of  $X^5 + X - 1$  in  $\mathbf{F}_q$ .

- By taking Knuth duals, the roles of orbit and fixed point set sizes can be interchanged.

# The Uniformity Theorem

It turns out that class-counting zeta functions of graphical groups are tame:

Theorem (R. & Voll 2024)

Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph with  $m$  edges. There exists  $W_\Gamma(X, T) \in \mathbf{Q}(X, T)$  such that for each prime  $p$ ,

$$Z_{\mathbf{G}_\Gamma \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p}^{\text{cc}}(T) = W_\Gamma(p, p^m T).$$

(We could of course absorb the factor  $p^m$  and just consider  $W_\Gamma(X, X^m T)$  instead. We would then have to adjust some of the formulae and identities below.)

## Remark

- Our proof is constructive and implemented in Zeta. It is based on a (quite elaborate) recursion which uses *toric geometry* to analyse  $p$ -adic integrals attached to ask zeta functions.
- A list of these rational functions for graphs on at most 7 vertices is [available online](#).
- Some infinite families have received special attention.

## Theme: rigidity of ask zeta functions

- In the study of ask zeta functions, one cannot help but notice examples of different module representations giving rise to the same zeta functions.
- Earlier example:  $\mathfrak{gl}_d(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  and  $\mathfrak{sl}_d(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  have the same ask zeta functions for  $d > 1$ .
- (Carnevale & R. 2022): More generally, certain *admissible* linear relations have no effect on ask zeta functions. The following is a fun application of this theme.
- Let  $F_{c,d}$  be the group scheme associated with the free nilpotent Lie algebra of class  $c$  and rank  $d$ . Example:  $F_{2,2} = U_3$

$F_{c,d}$  can be defined by exponentiating the corresponding relatively free Lie algebra over  $\mathbf{Z}[1/c!]$ .

For  $p > c$ , the group  $F_{c,d}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  is the free nilpotent pro- $p$  group of class  $c$  on  $d$  generators.

## Theme: rigidity of ask zeta functions

Theorem (Lins 2020)

$$Z_{F_{2,d}^{\text{cc}} \otimes Z_p}(\mathbb{T}) = \frac{1 - p^{\binom{d-1}{2}} \mathbb{T}}{(1 - p^{\binom{d}{2}} \mathbb{T})(1 - p^{\binom{d}{2}+1} \mathbb{T})}.$$

Two further proofs are known at this point.

The proof of the following theorem fits the theme of rigidity.

Theorem (Carnevale & R. 2022)

Let  $p \geq 5$ . Then:

$$Z_{F_{3,d}^{\text{cc}} \otimes Z_p}(\mathbb{T}) = \frac{\left(1 - p^{\frac{(d-1)(d^2+d-3)}{3}} \mathbb{T}\right) \left(1 - p^{\frac{(d-2)d(d+2)}{3}} \mathbb{T}\right)}{\left(1 - p^{\frac{(d-1)d(d+1)}{3}} \mathbb{T}\right) \left(1 - p^{\frac{d^3-d+3}{3}} \mathbb{T}\right) \left(1 - p^{\frac{(2d^2+3d-11)d}{6}} \mathbb{T}\right)}.$$

# Theme: rigidity of ask zeta functions

## Sketch of proof.

- Let  $\mathfrak{a}_{c,d}$  be the free algebra generated by  $d$  symbols subject to the relations  $x^2 = 0$  and  $x_1(x_2(\cdots(x_c x_{c+1}) \cdots)) = 0$ .
- Let  $\mathfrak{f}_{c,d} = \mathfrak{a}_{c,d}/(\text{Jacobi identity})$ , the free class- $c$  nilpotent Lie algebra of rank  $d$ .
- Let  $\alpha_{c,d}$  (resp.  $\hat{\alpha}_{c,d}$ ) be the  $\bullet$ -dual of the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{a}_{c,d}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{f}_{c,d}$ ).
- General ask machinery:  $Z_{\mathbb{F}_{c,d} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p}^{cc}(T) = Z_{\hat{\alpha}_{c,d}}^{\text{ask}}(T)$ .
- The ask zeta function of a module representation arising from a matrix of linear forms  $A(X)$  is determined by the sequence of *Fitting ideals* of  $\text{Coker}(A(X))$ .  
These are the ideals of minors of  $A(X)$ , ordered in a certain way.
- Induction and a Gröbner basis calculation (using Macaulay2 or SageMath) show that for  $c = 3$ , our two module representations  $\alpha_{3,d}$  and  $\hat{\alpha}_{3,d}$  give rise to the same Fitting ideals. Hence,  $Z_{\mathbb{F}_{3,d} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p}^{cc}(T) = Z_{\alpha_{3,d}}^{\text{ask}}(T)$ .
- The latter zeta functions turns out to (essentially) coincide with the class-counting zeta function of graphical group schemes attached to so-called threshold graphs. We can then read off our formula from known work (R. & Voll 2024). :)





## Theme: rigidity of ask zeta functions

- It seems that we are only beginning to understand rigidity phenomena. Here is another example. Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a simple graph with vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ .
- Let  $M_\Gamma = \{[x_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathbf{Z}) : x_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } v_i \sim v_j\}$ .
- Let  $M_\Gamma^+$  ( $M_\Gamma^-$ ) consist of the symmetric (resp. antisymmetric) matrices in  $M_\Gamma$ .

Theorem (R. & Voll 2025+)

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{Z}_p M_\Gamma}^{\text{ask}}(T) = \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{Z}_p M_\Gamma^-}^{\text{ask}}(T) = \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{Z}_p M_\Gamma^+}^{\text{ask}}(T) \text{ (where the second equality needs } p > 2.)$$

### Example

Taking  $\Gamma = \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet$ , the theorem e.g. explains why  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & b \\ 0 & c & 0 \\ d & 0 & e \end{bmatrix} : a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbf{Z}_p \right\}$  and its submodule  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & b \\ 0 & c & 0 \\ -b & 0 & e \end{bmatrix} : a, b, c, e \in \mathbf{Z}_p \right\}$  have the same ask zeta function.

The common ask zeta function is  $\frac{1+T-2q^{-1}T-2q^{-2}T+q^{-3}T+q^{-3}T^2}{(1-T)^3}$ , in case you were wondering.

## Theme: modelling theorems

- A **hypergraph** is a triple  $H = (V, E, \iota)$  consisting of finite sets  $V$  and  $E$  and an **incidence relation**  $\iota \subset V \times E$ . The elements of  $V$  and  $E$  are the **vertices** and **hyperedges** of  $H$ , respectively.
- Let  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ . Let  $M_H$  be the module of  $n \times m$  matrices  $[x_{ij}]$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$  with  $x_{ij} = 0$  unless  $v_i \iota e_j$ .
- The **support** of a hyperedge  $e$  is  $\|e\| = \{v \in V : v \iota e\}$ .
- Let  $\widehat{WO}(V)$  be the poset of (possibly empty) flags of (possibly empty) subsets of  $V$ .
- Let  $\mu_I = \mu_I(H)$  be the number of hyperedges  $e$  with  $\|e\| = I$ . Define

$$I_H(X, T) = \sum_{y \in \widehat{WO}(V)} (1 - X^{-1})^{|\text{sup}(y)|} \prod_{J \in y} \frac{X^{||J|| - \sum_{I: I \cap J \neq \emptyset} \mu_I} T}{1 - X^{||J|| - \sum_{I: I \cap J \neq \emptyset} \mu_I} T}.$$

Theorem (R. Voll 2024)

$Z_{\mathbf{Z}_p M_H}^{\text{ask}}(T) = I_H(p, T)$  for each prime  $p$ .

## Theme: modelling theorems

### Definition

**Cographs** are recursively defined as follows:

- A graph consisting of a single vertex is a cograph.
- If  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  are cographs, then so are their disjoint union  $\Gamma \oplus \Gamma'$  and their join  $\Gamma \vee \Gamma'$ .  
The join  $\Gamma_1 \vee \Gamma_2$  is obtained from  $\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2$  by connecting each vertex of  $\Gamma_1$  to each vertex of  $\Gamma_2$ .

Theorem (“Cograph modelling theorem”; R. & Voll 2024)

Let  $\Gamma$  be a cograph. Then there exists an explicit **modelling hypergraph**  $H = H(\Gamma)$  with  $W_\Gamma(X, T) = I_H(X, T)$ .

The point is that we have an explicit *combinatorial Denef formula* for  $I_H(X, T)$  which then allows us to deduce properties of  $W_\Gamma(X, T)$  and hence of class-counting zeta functions of cographical groups. Consequences include:

- If  $\Gamma$  is a cograph, then the abscissa of convergence of  $\zeta_{G_\Gamma}^{\text{cc}}(s)$  is an integer.
- If  $\Gamma$  is a cograph, then each real pole of  $\zeta_{G_\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{cc}}(s)$  is an integer.

## Theme: operations

- An attractive feature of ask zeta functions, and hence of class- and orbit-counting zeta functions of unipotent groups, is that they are often well behaved w.r.t. algebraic operations.
- Knuth duality (and its harmless effects on ask zeta functions) are one example.
- Given module representation  $M \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{Hom}(V, W)$  and  $M' \xrightarrow{\theta'} \text{Hom}(V', W')$ , we obtain

$$M \oplus M' \xrightarrow{\theta \oplus \theta'} \text{Hom}(V \oplus V', W \oplus W').$$

- Recall that the **Hadamard product** of  $F(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n T^n$  and  $G(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n T^n$  is

$$F(T) *_T G(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n T^n.$$

- It is well known that Hadamard products of rational generating functions are themselves rational.

## Hadamard products

### Lemma

Let  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  be finite free module representations over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ .

Then  $Z_{\theta \oplus \theta'}^{\text{ask}}(T) = Z_{\theta}^{\text{ask}}(T) *_T Z_{\theta'}^{\text{ask}}(T)$ . ◆

### Lemma

Let  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{G}'$  be group schemes over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . Then  $Z_{\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G}'}^{\text{cc}}(T) = Z_{\mathbf{G}}^{\text{cc}}(T) *_T Z_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\text{cc}}(T)$ .

### Proof.

We have  $k(\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G}') = k(\mathbf{G})k(\mathbf{G}')$  for finite groups  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{G}'$ . ◆

- Already for examples of the form  $M_{d \times e}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ , the study of Hadamard products of ask zeta functions very naturally touches upon and involves *permutation statistics*.
- We saw some glimpses of that in the tutorial.
- More about this: see Angela's third lecture. :)

## Joins of graphs

Theorem (R. & Voll 2025+)

Let  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  be graphs on  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  vertices, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\Gamma_1 \vee \Gamma_2}(X, T) = & (X^{1-n_1-n_2}T - 1 \\ & + W_{\Gamma_1}(X, X^{-n_2}T)(1 - X^{-n_2}T)(1 - X^{1-n_2}T) \\ & + W_{\Gamma_2}(X, X^{-n_1}T)(1 - X^{-n_1}T)(1 - X^{1-n_1}T)) \\ & /((1 - T)(1 - XT)). \end{aligned}$$

Remark

In the special case that  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are *cographs*, this was first proved by R. & Voll (2024). (This used the Cograph Modelling Theorem.)

It remains open to conceptually understand the above product of generating functions.

## Open problem: Hadamard products

- At this point, we have a combinatorial understanding of Hadamard products

$$Z_{M_{d_1 \times e_1}}^{\text{ask}}(\mathbf{z}_p) *_{\text{T}} \cdots *_{\text{T}} Z_{M_{d_r \times e_r}}^{\text{ask}}(\mathbf{z}_p)$$

when  $d_1 - e_1 = \cdots = d_r - e_r$  in terms of shuffles of coloured permutations (Carnevale, Moustakas, R. 2024+).

- This is e.g. sufficient to explicitly determine the class-counting zeta functions of  $F_{2,d_1} \times \cdots \times F_{2,d_r}$ .

### Problem

*Given arbitrary  $(d_i, e_i)$ , provide a useful combinatorial interpretation of the above rational generating function.*



## Open problem: free nilpotent groups

### Question

Let  $c \geq 4$ .

- Does there exist  $W_{c,d}(X, T) \in \mathbf{Q}(X, T)$  with  $Z_{F_{c,d} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p}^{cc}(T) = W_{c,d}(p, T)$  for  $p \gg 0$ ?
  - If so, how does  $W_{c,d}(X, T)$  look like?
- 
- We saw that the answer is YES for  $c = 2$  and  $c = 3$  (and any  $d$ ).
  - O'Brien & Voll (2015) explicitly determined the number of conjugacy classes of given size of  $F_{c,d}(\mathbf{F}_q)$  in terms of Witt's dimension formula. This takes care of the coefficient of  $T$  in  $Z_{F_{c,d} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p}^{cc}(T)$ .
  - Contrary to what happens for  $c = 2, 3$ , we shouldn't expect formulae of the form  $\prod (1 - p^{a_i} T^{b_i})^{\pm 1}$ . Indeed:

$$Z_{F_{4,2} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p}^{cc}(T) = \frac{p^7 T^3 - p^6 T^2 - p^5 T^2 + p^4 T^2 + p^3 T - p^2 T - p T + 1}{(1 - p^7 T^2)(1 - p^4 T)^2}.$$

## Open problem: class-counting zeta functions of graphical groups

Given  $n$ , using the Cograph Modelling Theorem and the Uniformity Theorem for hypergraphs, we can produce an explicit combinatorial Denef formula (specifically: a sum over flags of subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ ) for the rational functions  $W_\Gamma(X, T)$  attached to cographs on  $n$  vertices.

### Question (R. & Voll 2024)

Let  $\Gamma$  be a simple graph.

- ① Is the abscissa of convergence of  $\zeta_{G_\Gamma}^{\text{cc}}(s)$  always an integer?
- ② Are the real parts of the poles of  $\zeta_{G_\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{cc}}(s)$  always half-integers?
- ③ Is there a meaningful “combinatorial Denef formula” for the  $W_\Gamma(X, T)$  which is valid for *all* graphs on a given vertex set?

# The End.



# Thank you!