Enumerating orbits of groups Lecture 2: Ask zeta functions

Tobias Rossmann

ICTS, Bengaluru — December 2024



OLLSCOIL NA GAILLIMHE UNIVERSITY OF GALWAY





Ask zeta functions v1.1

Let R be a ring. Let $M \xrightarrow{\theta} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(V, W)$ be a finite free module representation. By base change, for each R-algebra S, we obtain a finite free module representation

 $\mathsf{M} \otimes_{\mathsf{R}} \mathsf{S} \xrightarrow{\theta^{\mathsf{S}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{S}}(\mathsf{V} \otimes_{\mathsf{R}} \mathsf{S}, \mathsf{W} \otimes_{\mathsf{R}} \mathsf{S}).$

Definition

The (analytic) ask zeta function of θ is

$$\zeta^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\theta}(s) = \sum_{\mathrm{I}} \mathsf{ask}(\theta^{\mathsf{R}/\mathsf{I}}) \cdot |\mathsf{R}/\mathsf{I}|^{-s},$$

where the sums runs over the ideals of finite norm of R.

This generalises our previous definition of $Z_{\theta}^{ask}(T).$ Indeed, if $R=\mathbf{Z}_p$, then $\zeta_{\theta}^{ask}(s)=Z_{\theta}^{ask}(p^{-s}).$

Ask zeta functions v1.1

Exercise

Let
$$M \xrightarrow{\theta} M_{d \times e}(\mathbf{Z})$$
 be finite free over \mathbf{Z} . Then:
• $\zeta_{\theta}^{ask}(s)$ converges for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > d + 1$.
• $\zeta_{\theta}^{ask}(s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \zeta_{\theta}^{ask}(s)$.

Using tools from p-adic integration, much more can be said about these functions.

For example:

- The abscissa of convergence $\alpha = \alpha(\theta)$ of $\zeta_{\theta}^{ask}(s)$ is a rational number.
- For some $\delta = \delta(\theta) > 0$, the function $\zeta_{\theta}^{\mathsf{ask}}(s)$ admits meromorphic continuation to the half-plane $\{s \in \mathbf{C} : \operatorname{Re}(s) > \alpha \delta\}$.
- \bullet Local functional equations / "self-reciprocity": for $p\gg0,$

$$\left.\zeta^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathsf{Z}_p}}(s)\right|_{p\leftarrow p^{-1}} = (-p^{d-s})\cdot\zeta^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathsf{Z}_p}}(s).$$

An instructive example of an ask zeta function

Motivated by probabilistic questions, Linial and Weitz (2000, unpublished) and, independently, Fulman and Goldstein (2015) proved the following.

Proposition

 $\mathsf{ask}(\mathrm{M}_{d\times e}(\mathbf{F}_q)) = 1 + q^{d-e} - q^{-e}.$

The main goal of this lecture will be to prove the following.

Proposition (R. 2018)

For each prime **p**,

$$Z^{ask}_{\mathrm{M}_{d\times e}(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(\mathsf{T}) = \frac{1-p^{-e}\mathsf{T}}{(1-\mathsf{T})(1-p^{d-e}\mathsf{T})} = 1 + (1+p^{d-e}-p^{-e})\mathsf{T} + \mathcal{O}(\mathsf{T}^2).$$

Our proof will rely on three tools, all of which have been very useful in the study of ask zeta functions: 1 p-adic integration, 2 constant rank spaces, and 3 Knuth duality.

An instructive example of an ask zeta function

By combining $Z^{ask}_{M_{d\times e}(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(T)=\frac{1-p^{-e}T}{(1-T)(1-p^{d-e}T)}$ and the usual Euler product

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}}$$

of the Riemann zeta function, we obtain the following.

Corollary $\zeta_{M_{d \times e}(\mathbf{Z})}^{\mathsf{ask}}(s) = \zeta(s)\zeta(s - d + e)/\zeta(s + e).$ Local ask zeta functions as integrals: motivation

- What is the size of the kernel of a matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ modulo p^n ?
- We're free to multiply A by elements of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ on either side. Using row and column operations, we can transform A into a matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} p^{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & p^{\beta} \end{bmatrix}$$

with $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq \infty$.

• The kernel of multiplication $\mathbf{Z}/p^{n}\mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{p^{\delta}} \mathbf{Z}/p^{n}\mathbf{Z}$ is the ideal generated by $p^{\max(n-\delta,0)}$.

The size of this kernel is $p^{n-\max(n-\delta,0)} = p^{\min(\delta,n)}$.

- Hence, the kernel of A modulo p^n has size $p^{\min(\alpha,n)+\min(\beta,n)}$.
- Write ν for the (additive) p-adic valuation with $\nu(p) = 1$.

Then $\alpha = \min(\nu(\alpha), \nu(b), \nu(c), \nu(d))$ and $\alpha + \beta = \nu(\det(A))$.

Local ask zeta functions as integrals

- Let $M \xrightarrow{\theta} M_{d \times e}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ be a finite free module representation.
- It's not surprising that $\zeta_{\theta}^{ask}(s)$, a function defined as a series of averages, can be expressed as an integral. Here's one way of doing this.
- $\bullet~\mbox{For}~a\in M$ and $y\in {\bf Z}_p,$ let ${\rm K}_\theta(a,y)\in [1,\infty]$ be the size of the kernel of the map

 $(\mathbf{Z}_p/y\mathbf{Z}_p)^d \to (\mathbf{Z}_p/y\mathbf{Z}_p)^e$

induced by $a\theta$.

• Let $|\cdot|$ be the usual p-adic absolute value (on \mathbb{Z}_p , say) with $|p| = p^{-1}$.

Proposition (R. 2018)

For $s \in C$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s) > d$,

$$(1-p^{-1}) \cdot \zeta_{\theta}^{ask}(s) = \int_{M \times \mathbf{Z}_p} |y|^{s-1} \operatorname{K}_{\theta}(a, y) \operatorname{d}\mu(a, y),$$
(1)

where μ denotes the Haar measure on $M \times \mathbf{Z}_p$ with total volume 1.

Local ask zeta functions as integrals: minors

• We may assume that $a\theta = A(a)$ for a matrix of linear forms $A(X) \in M_{d \times e}(\mathbf{Z}_p[X])$.

• Using arguments of Voll (2010) and generalising what we did for 2×2 matrices, we can express $K_{\theta}(a, y)$ in terms of p-adic maximum norms of minors of A(a) and y:

Lemma

Let $f_i(X)$ be the set of $i \times i$ minors of A(X). Let $r = \max(rk_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(A(a)) : a \in M)$ and let $N = \{a \in M : rk_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(A(a)) < r\}$. Then N has measure zero (w.r.t. the normalised Haar measure on M) and for all $a \in M \setminus N$ and $y \in \mathbf{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\mathrm{K}_{\theta}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{y}) = |\mathfrak{y}|^{r-d} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\|f_{i-1}(\mathfrak{a})\|}{\|f_{i}(\mathfrak{a}) \cup \mathfrak{y}f_{i-1}(\mathfrak{a})\|}$$

Local ask zeta functions as integrals: minors

Proof.

- Fix $a \in M \setminus N$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$. Let n = v(y).
- By basic linear algebra ("elementary divisor theorem", "Smith normal form"), there are integers $0\leqslant\lambda_1\leqslant\cdots\leqslant\lambda_r$ and matrices $R\in {\rm GL}_d({\bf Z}_p)$ and $S\in {\rm GL}_e({\bf Z}_p)$ such that

$$\mathsf{RA}(\mathfrak{a})\mathsf{S} = \operatorname{diag}(\mathfrak{p}^{\lambda_1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}^{\lambda_r}, \mathfrak{0}, \dots, \mathfrak{0}) \eqqcolon \mathsf{D}.$$

• Linear algebra also tells us that A(a) and D have the same ideals of minors of any order. Since the ideal of $i\times i$ minors of D is generated by $p^{\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_i}$, we obtain

 $\|f_i(a)\| = p^{-\lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_i}.$

Local ask zeta functions as integrals: minors

Proof (contd).

• Generalising our motivating 2×2 example, looking at D, we find that

$$K_{\theta}(a,y) = p^{\min(\lambda_1,n) + \dots + \min(\lambda_r,n) + (d-r)n}$$
.

• The claim follows since

$$\begin{split} p^{\min(\lambda_{i},n)} &= \frac{1}{\max(p^{-\lambda_{i}},p^{-n})} = \frac{p^{-\lambda_{1}-\dots-\lambda_{i-1}}}{\max(p^{-\lambda_{1}-\dots-\lambda_{i}},p^{-n-\lambda_{1}-\dots-\lambda_{i-1}})} \\ &= \frac{\|f_{i-1}(a)\|}{\|f_{i}(a) \cup yf_{i-1}(a)\|}. \end{split}$$

Local ask zeta functions as integrals: overview

Given $M \xrightarrow{\theta} M_{d \times e}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$, we obtained a number r and sets of polynomials $f_i(X)$ such that

$$(1-p^{-1})\cdot \zeta^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\theta}(s) = \int_{M\times \mathbf{Z}_p} |y|^{s+r-d-1} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\|f_{i-1}(\mathfrak{a})\|}{\|f_i(\mathfrak{a}) \cup yf_{i-1}(\mathfrak{a})\|} \operatorname{d}\!\mu(\mathfrak{a},y).$$

- While potentially unwieldy, these p-adic integrals are amenable to a wide range of tools developed over the past decades.
- Many of these tools were first pioneered in the study of Igusa's local zeta function.
- Key observation: when the $f_i(X)$ only consist of monomials, then our integral can be computed using techniques from polyhedral geometry.

Local ask zeta functions as integrals: consequences

Here, we just record two important consequences:

Theorem (Local rationality)

$$\begin{split} & Z^{\text{ask}}_{\theta}(T) \in \mathbf{Q}(T). \text{ More precisely, there are } m \in \mathbf{N}_0 \text{ and nonzero} \\ & (a_1,b_1), \ldots, (a_u,b_u) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N}_0 \text{ such that } p^m \prod_{i=1}^u (1-p^{a_i}T^{b_i}) Z^{\text{ask}}_{\theta}(T) \in \mathbf{Z}[T]. \end{split}$$

Theorem (Variation of the prime: "(geometric) Denef formulae")

Let $M \xrightarrow{\theta} M_{d \times e}(\mathbf{Z})$ be a finite free module representation. There are $W_1(X,T), \ldots, W_r(X,T) \in \mathbf{Q}(X,T)$ (which can be written over denominators of the same shape as above) and \mathbf{Q} -defined varieties V_1, \ldots, V_r such that $p \gg 0$,

$$\label{eq:zero} Z^{ask}_{\theta^{\mathbf{Z}_p}}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^r \# \bar{V}_i(\mathbf{F}_p) \cdot W_i(p,T),$$

where $\overline{\cdot}$ denotes reduction modulo p of fixed Z-forms.

The friendlist ask zeta functions: constant rank spaces

Definition

Let F be a field. A subspace $M \subset M_{d \times e}(F)$ has constant rank r if $M \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{rk}(a) = r$ for all $a \in M \setminus \{0\}$.

Example

Let D be a d-dimensional division algebra over F. Then the regular representation of D embeds D as a subspace of $M_d(F)$ of constant rank d.

Example (band matrices)

The following is an r-dimensional space of $r \times (2r - 1)$ matrices of constant rank r:

$$B_r = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_r \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_r \end{bmatrix} : x_1, \dots, x_r \in F \right\} \subset \mathrm{M}_{r \times (2r-1)}(F).$$

The friendlist ask zeta functions: constant rank spaces

Proposition (R. 2018)

Let $M \subset M_{d \times e}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ be an isolated submodule of \mathbf{Z}_p -rank ℓ . Let $r = \max(rk_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(a) : a \in M)$. Suppose that the reduction of M modulo p has constant rank r over \mathbf{F}_p . (Same r!) Then

$$Z^{\mathsf{ask}}_{M}(T) = \frac{1 - p^{d - \ell - r}T}{(1 - p^{d - \ell}T)(1 - p^{d - r}T)}.$$

The friendlist ask zeta functions: constant rank spaces

Sketch of proof.

- Let $\mathrm{M}_{d\times e}(\mathbf{Z}_p) \xrightarrow{\cdot} \mathrm{M}_{d\times e}(\mathbf{F}_p)$ denote reduction modulo p.
- Since M is isolated, $\overline{\cdot}$ induces an isomorphism $M/pM \approx \overline{M}$.
- Next, one reduces the computation of $\mathrm{K}_M(a,y)$ to the case that $a\in M\setminus pM.$ Key observation: $\mathrm{K}_M(pa,py)=p^d\,\mathrm{K}(a,y).$ This leads to

$$(1-p^{d-\ell-s})\cdot\zeta_M^{\mathsf{ask}}(s) = 1 + (1-p^{-1})^{-1} \int_{(M\setminus pM)\times p\mathbf{Z}_p} |y|^{s-1} \operatorname{K}_M(\mathfrak{a},y) \operatorname{d}\mu(\mathfrak{a},y).$$

• For $a\in M\setminus pM,$ since \bar{M} has constant rank r, $K_M(a,p)=p^{d-r}$ and, more generally,

$$\mathrm{K}_{\mathsf{M}}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{y}) = |\mathfrak{y}|^{r-d}$$

for all $y \in \mathbf{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$.

• Evaluating our integral is now straightforward. :)

Hidden constant rank spaces?

We just proved this:

Proposition

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Let } M \subset {\rm M}_{d \times e}({\mathbf Z}_p) \mbox{ be an isolated submodule of } {\mathbf Z}_p\mbox{-rank } \ell. \\ \mbox{Let } r = \max({\rm rk}_{{\mathbf Q}_p}(\mathfrak{a}): \mathfrak{a} \in M). \end{array}$

Suppose that the reduction of M modulo p has constant rank r over $\mathbf{F}_p.$ Then

$$\mathsf{Z}^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\mathsf{M}}(\mathsf{T}) = \frac{1-p^{d-r-\ell}\mathsf{T}}{(1-p^{d-\ell}\mathsf{T})(1-p^{d-r}\mathsf{T})}$$

I promised to prove the following:

Proposition

$$\mathsf{Z}^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\mathrm{M}_{d\times e}(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(\mathsf{T}) = \frac{1 - p^{-e}\mathsf{T}}{(1 - \mathsf{T})(1 - p^{d - e}\mathsf{T})}$$

Suspiciously similar formulae... even though $M_{d\times e}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is about as far from having constant rank as you can get!

- Let $M \xrightarrow{\theta} Hom(V, W)$ be a module representation over R.
- Let $(\cdot)^* = \operatorname{Hom}(\cdot, R)$ be the usual dual of R-modules.
- Recall that for $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B$, α^* is the map $B^* \to A^*$ given by $\psi \alpha^* = \alpha \psi$.
- Up to taking duals, we can "permute" the modules M, V, and W to derive further module representations. We'll spell this out for the three "involutions".
- Recall that $x *_{\theta} a = x(a\theta)$ for $x \in V$ and $a \in M$.

Definition

The **Knuth duals** of θ are:

- $V \xrightarrow{\theta^{\circ}} \operatorname{Hom}(M, W)$ with $a *_{\theta^{\circ}} x = x *_{\theta} a$ for $a \in M$ and $x \in V$.
- $W^* \xrightarrow{\theta^*} \operatorname{Hom}(V, M^*)$ with $a(x *_{\theta^*} \psi) = (x *_{\theta} a)\psi$ for $a \in M$, $x \in V$, and $\psi \in W^*$. • $M \xrightarrow{\theta^{\vee}} \operatorname{Hom}(W^*, V^*)$ with $a\theta^{\vee} = (a\theta)^*$ for $a \in M$.

Example

Let $A(X) \in \mathrm{M}_{d \times e}(R[X_1, \ldots, X_\ell])$ be a matrix of linear forms, say

$$A(X) = \left[\sum_{h=1}^{\ell} c_{hij} X_h\right]_{ij}.$$

Up to isotopy, \circ , \bullet , and \lor are the involutions permuting our three "axes". In detail:

• $A(X)^{\circ}$ is the $\ell \times e$ matrix with (h, j) entry $\sum_{i=1}^{d} c_{hij}X_i$.

• $A(X)^{\bullet}$ is the $d \times \ell$ matrix with (i, h) entry $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{hij}X_j$.

• $A(X)^{\vee} = A(X)^{\top}$ is just the transpose of A(X).

For l = d = e, this is the setting considered by Knuth (1965) in the study of *semifields*.

Theorem (R. 2020)

Let R be a finite quotient of a Dedekind domain (e.g. R = Z/nZ). Let $M \xrightarrow{\theta} Hom(V, W)$ be a module representation over R. Suppose that M, V, and W are finite. Then:

•
$$ask(\theta^{\circ}) = \frac{|M|}{|V|}ask(\theta).$$

• $ask(\theta^{\bullet}) = ask(\theta).$
• $ask(\theta^{\vee}) = \frac{|W|}{|V|}ask(\theta)$

Corollary

Let $\mathbf{Z}_p^\ell\approx M\xrightarrow{\theta} \mathrm{M}_{d\times e}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ be a module representation over $\mathbf{Z}_p.$ Then:

$$\mathsf{Z}^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\theta}(\mathsf{T}) = \mathsf{Z}^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\theta^{\diamond}}(p^{d-\ell}\mathsf{T}) = \mathsf{Z}^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\theta^{\vee}}(q^{d-e}\mathsf{T}) = \mathsf{Z}^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\theta^{\bullet}}(\mathsf{T}).$$

Proof of theorem.

• We'll only prove the first part. This only needs $|R| < \infty$ and goes back to an unpublished note of Linial and Weitz (2020) mentioned before.

Let

$$\Sigma(\theta) = \big\{ (x, a) \in V \times M : x *_{\theta} a = 0 \big\}$$

and note that $(x, a) \in \Sigma(\theta)$ if and only if $(a, x) \in \Sigma(\theta^\circ).$

• Clearly, $ask(\theta) = \frac{|\Sigma(\theta)|}{|M|}$.

• Hence,

$$\mathsf{ask}(\theta^\circ) = \frac{|\Sigma(\theta^\circ)|}{|V|} = \frac{|\Sigma(\theta)|}{|V|} = \frac{|M|}{|V|}\mathsf{ask}(\theta).$$

This was the same argument as in the proof of the orbit-counting lemma!

Ask zeta functions of generic matrices

We can now finally prove the following:

Proposition

$$\mathsf{Z}^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\mathrm{M}_{d\times e}(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{p}})}(\mathsf{T}) = \frac{1 - p^{-e}\mathsf{T}}{(1 - \mathsf{T})(1 - p^{d - e}\mathsf{T})}$$

Sketch of proof.

 \bullet Over a field F, the o-dual of the identity map $\mathrm{M}_{d\times e}(F) \to \mathrm{Hom}(F^d,F^e)$ is

 $\mathsf{F}^d \to \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{M}_{d \times e}(\mathsf{F}),\mathsf{F}^e), \quad x \mapsto (A \mapsto xA).$

- By playing with the standard basis of $M_{d \times e}(F)$, we see that $A \mapsto xA$ is onto for each nonzero $x \in F^d$.
- Hence, our o-dual parameterises a space of constant rank e.
- Now apply our earlier results on ask zeta functions of o-duals and those of constant rank spaces.

Ask zeta functions of generic matrices

Proof (less sketchy).

- Let ι be the identity on $M_{d\times e}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$, viewed as a module representation $M_{d\times e}(\mathbf{Z}_p) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_p^d, \mathbf{Z}_p^e).$
- $\bullet \ \text{We obtain} \ \mathbf{Z}_p^d \xrightarrow{\iota^\circ} \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{M}_{d \times e}(\mathbf{Z}_p), \mathbf{Z}_p^e), \quad x \mapsto (A \mapsto xA).$
- Considering the same module representation over a field, if $x \neq 0$, then $A \mapsto xA$ is onto. Hence, $\iota^{\circ} \mod p$ parameterises a space of matrices of constant rank e.
- Hence, using our earlier theorem (with (d, e, de, e) in place of (l, r, d, e)) yields

$$Z^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\iota^{\circ}}(\mathsf{T}) = \frac{1 - p^{de-d-e}\mathsf{T}}{(1 - p^{de-d}\mathsf{T})(1 - p^{de-e}\mathsf{T})}.$$

• Thus, finally,

$$\mathsf{Z}^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\mathrm{M}_{d\times e}(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(\mathsf{T}) = \mathsf{Z}^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\iota}(\mathsf{T}) = \mathsf{Z}^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\iota^{\circ}}(p^{d-de}\mathsf{T}) = \frac{1-p^{-e}\mathsf{T}}{(1-\mathsf{T})(1-p^{d-e}\mathsf{T})},$$

as claimed.

Further examples

Similar reasoning applies to many of the "usual suspects" among modules of matrices. Recall the definitions of the **special linear**, **orthogonal**, and **symplectic** Lie algebras

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{sl}_d(R) &= \Big\{ a \in \mathfrak{gl}_d(R) : \operatorname{trace}(a) = 0 \Big\}, \\ \mathfrak{so}_d(R) &= \Big\{ a \in \mathfrak{gl}_d(R) : a + a^\top = 0 \Big\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathfrak{sp}_{2d}(R) &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a^\top \end{bmatrix} : a, b, c \in \operatorname{M}_d(R), b = b^\top, c = c^\top \right\}. \end{split}$$

Further examples

Proposition (R. 2018)

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \ \ & \mathsf{Z}^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\mathfrak{sl}_d(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(\mathsf{T}) = \mathsf{Z}^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\mathfrak{gl}_d(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(\mathsf{T}) = \frac{1-p^{-d}\mathsf{T}}{(1-\mathsf{T})^2} \ \, (\textit{for } d>1). \\ \bullet \ \ & \mathsf{Z}^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\mathfrak{so}_d(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(\mathsf{T}) = \mathsf{Z}^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\mathrm{M}_{d\times(d-1)}(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(\mathsf{T}) = \frac{1-p^{1-d}\mathsf{T}}{(1-\mathsf{T})(1-p\mathsf{T})}. \\ \bullet \ \ & \mathsf{Z}^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\mathfrak{sp}_{2d}(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(\mathsf{T}) = \mathsf{Z}^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\mathfrak{gl}_{2d}(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(\mathsf{T}) = \frac{1-p^{-2d}\mathsf{T}}{(1-\mathsf{T})^2}. \\ \bullet \ \ & \mathsf{Z}^{\mathsf{ask}}_{\mathfrak{n}_d(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(\mathsf{T}) = \frac{(1-\mathsf{T})^{d-1}}{(1-p\mathsf{T})^d}. \end{aligned}$$

- All but the last of these examples are explained by hidden constant rank spaces.
- We nowadays understand such coincidences between ask zeta functions much better. In particular:
 - The fact that $\mathfrak{gl}_d(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ and $\mathfrak{sl}_d(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ have the same ask zeta function is an instance of a more general phenomenon: the rigidity of ask zeta functions under imposing suitably "admissible" linear relations (Carnevale & R. 2022).
 - The fact that $\mathfrak{so}_d(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ and $M_{d\times (d-1)}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ have the same ask zeta function is a special case of the "Cograph Modelling Theorem" (R. & Voll 2024).